

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,776	10/13/2005	Helmut Kinder	B31293US	4180	
20000	7590 02/05/2008 NOBLOCH, L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
2401 FOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE			BUEKER, RICHARD R		
SUITE 630 HOUSTON, TX	<i>.</i> 77057		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110001011, 11	177037		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Δ	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/533,776	10/533,776 KINDER, HELMUT		Г			
		Examiner	Α	Art Unit				
		Richard Bueker		792				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication a	opears on the cover	sheet with the con	respondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin If NO period for reply is specified abov Failure to reply within the set or extent Any reply received by the Office later to earned patent term adjustment. See 3	FROM THE MAILING I nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by statuhan three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, however d will apply and will expire S ate, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (r filed mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .	· ·	— is action is non-fina	l.					
<u>'</u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pe	ending in the applicatio	n.			•			
4a) Of the above claim	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.							
6) Claim(s)is/are	rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) obje	ected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sh	eet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the	drawing(s) is object	cted to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the	attached Office A	ction or form P1	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. ☐ Certified copies 3. ☐ Copies of the ce	_	nts have been recei nts have been recei iority documents ha	ved. ved in Application ve been received	n No	Stage			
* See the attached details								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-			nterview Summary (P					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D3) Information Disclosure Statement		. —	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pate					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(5) (1 1 5/5 5/6 5/6	· —	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,776

Art Unit: 1792

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-27, drawn to an apparatus and method of using the device.

Group II, claim(s) 28, drawn to an article.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The general inventive concept of the Group I claims relate to an apparatus for forming a superconductive coating and a method of using the apparatus. The general inventive concept of the Group II claim relates to a coated article.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,776

Art Unit: 1792

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,776

Art Unit: 1792

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Bueker whose telephone number is (571) 272-1431. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Bueker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792 Page 4